

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,503 08/05/2003	Christian Barckhahn	60013023-2	8681
7590 05/18/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY		EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration	NY	NGUYEN, LAM S	
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 00027-2400		2853	
		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A (	10/634,503	BARCKHAHN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAM S NGUYEN	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dai  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	H(S) FROM  imely filed  ays will be considered timely.
Status		,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)∟∫ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This a	-· action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	Ce except for formal matters pro	aaaandan ee regi
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	Charte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11 A	Secution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	- parto Quayio, 1900 O.D. 11, 46	53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,15-19 and 22-31</u> is/are pending in t	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawr	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) <u>15,23 and 24</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,16-19,22 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 August 2003 is/are:	\ <b>K</b> ZI	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the des	accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	is required if the drawing(s) is objective.	cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Putantan and an analysis and Exam	inter. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri a) All b) Some * c) None of:	· ·	(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application	n No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	I in this National Stage
application from the international Bureau (P	PCT Rule 17 2/a\\	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certified copies not received	
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Poview (DTO 049)	4) Interview Summary (P	TO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SP/09)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO-152)
- apor 110(3)/11/aii Date <u>00/03/2003</u> .	6) Other:	ant Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

U.S. P PTO

Art Unit: 2853

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3, 29-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1, 12, 13 of U. S. Patent No. 6655782.

Claims 16, 18-19, 22, 26, 28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 12 of U. S. Patent No. 6655782.

The above claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 2853

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-2, 16-19, 22, 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wen (US 6109745) in view of Bruning (US 5982475).

Wen discloses a method of printing an image with an inkjet printer system having an ink jet printer device (FIG.1), said printer device comprises a printhead arranged to print swaths of image content parallel to a first axis on a print medium, said swaths having a width W in a second axis substantially perpendicular to said first axis (column 2, lines 41-52), said method comprising the steps of.

determining the length L of said image in said second axis, cropping/resizing said image (FIG. 4, steps 610, 670, 690, 540, 650, 680), and printing said cropped image (FIG. 4, step 690, 800).

Art Unit: 2853

Wen does not disclose that cropping/resizing said image such that said cropped/resized length of said image in said second axis is substantially an integer multiple of said swath width: INT(L/W).

Bruning discloses a printing device for forming an image on a substrate by multiple scans, in which "a full image pattern can be scanned onto the substrate in an integer number of scans" in order to minimize the number of scans required for each image area (Abstract and column 2, lines 9-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify printing system disclosed by Wen such that resizing the image such that the resized image length is substantially an integer multiple of a swath width as disclosed by Bruning. The motivation of doing so is to minimize the number of scans required for each image area and maximize the total image area that can be scanned per time unit as taught by Bruning (Abstract).

Wen also disclose the following claimed invention:

Referring to claim 19: further comprising an associated host device, such as a personal computer (FIG. 1, element 20).

2. Claims 3, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wen (US 6109745) in view of Bruning (US 5982475), as applied to claims 1 and 29, and further in view of Seivert et al. (US 6012792).

Wen, as modified, disclose the claimed invention as discussed above except comprising the step of scanning said image prior to the step of determining said length of said image in said second axis.

Art Unit: 2853

Seivert discloses a full color copier in which an image is scanned to produce image data and then the image data is inputted to an inkjet printer for printing the image (Abstract and FIG. 5, step 506).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify printing system disclosed by Wen, as modified, such that including the step of scanning the image to produce an image data file as disclosed by Seivert for determining the length of the image. The motivation of doing so is to provide a copier employing high speed inkjet printers having densely inked areas by substantially reducing ink pen starvation, droplet trajectory errors, and fuzzy text edges as taught by Seivert (column 1, lines 7-13).

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 15, 23, 24 are allowed.

The most pertinent art fails to disclose the steps of determining the number of swaths required to print said image and if said determined number is not an integer number, resizing said image such that said resized image length in said second direction is substantially equal to INT(L/W). Therefore, the claimed invention is not disclosed by the prior arts.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN D MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN May 13, 2004

HAI PHAM

Harlithan